



February 27, 2025

Mr. Parviz Shams
Chief Executive Officer
Southern California Institute of Technology
525 North Muller Street
Anaheim, CA 92801

Dear Mr. Parviz:

This letter serves as formal notification and official record of action taken concerning Southern California Institute of Technology (SCIT) by the WASC Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC) at its meeting February 14, 2025. This action was taken after consideration of the report of the review team that conducted the Seeking Accreditation Visit 1 to Southern California Institute of Technology October 23 -25, 2024 using the 2023 Standards of Accreditation. The Commission also reviewed the institutional report and exhibits submitted by Southern California Institute of Technology prior to the Seeking Accreditation Visit 1 and the institution's December 10, 2024 response to the team report. The Commission appreciated the opportunity to discuss the visit with you and your colleague Arian Shams, President. Your comments were very helpful in informing the Commission's deliberations. The date of this action constitutes the effective date of the institution's status with WSCUC.

Actions

1. Receive the Seeking Accreditation Visit team report
2. Schedule a Seeking Accreditation Visit 2 in spring 20

Standard 1: Defining Institutional Purposes and Ensuring Educational Objectives

The Commission found that Southern California Institute of Technology demonstrated evidence of compliance with Standard 1 at a level sufficient for Candidacy. In order to demonstrate compliance at a level sufficient for Initial Accreditation, the following CFRs require additional attention and development:

- CFRs 1.2, 1.4, 1.7: Strengthen systematic assessment, regular communication, and institutionalized processes to keep constituencies informed about important institutional issues and to help identify and address the needs of a multi-faceted community

Standard 2: Achieving Educational Objectives through Core Functions

The Commission found that Southern California Institute of Technology demonstrated evidence of compliance with Standard 2 at a level sufficient for Candidacy. In order to demonstrate compliance at a level sufficient for Initial Accreditation, the following CFRs require additional attention and development:

- CFRs 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9: Develop the faculty to deepen their capacity and engagement in assessment, program review, and academic governance, congruent with the institution's mission and strategic direction.

Standard 3: Developing and Applying Resources and Organizational Structures to Ensure Quality and Sustainability

The Commission found that Southern California Institute of Technology had not demonstrated evidence of compliance with Standard 3 at a level sufficient for Candidacy. In order to demonstrate compliance at a level sufficient for Initial Accreditation, the following CFRs require additional attention and development:

- CFR 3.3: Foster a culture of open communication and n tomh thg -1.146 Td ()T3 (F)(l)-3.3 (opd904

of t (ra)6.5w 9]TJ 1.146 ,t

